

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/007,861	PERSSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Amended

 (1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(3) _____.

 (2) Robert C. Morriss.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 October 2006 ~~to 24 Oct 2006~~ **Time:** ~ PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Rejection set forth in Final mailed 27 February 2006.
Claims discussed:
all.
Prior art documents discussed:
Those relied on in the rejections.
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: examiner proposed amendments to the claims to more clearly correspond to applicants arguments presented 26 July 2006. Examiner newly discovered art in updating search and proposed amendments were withdrawn at this time in view of art relied on in the rejections to be forthcoming..